Supplementary Questions and Answers.

Mr. Puttaswami.—

What is the inconvenience in redistributing these villages ?

Second Member of Council.—

If they are included under one management, it is convenient.

Mr. Veeranna.—

With reference to reply to item No. 2 how long it has been so and what is the reason ?

Second Member of Council.—

Notice is required.

MR. Y. SREENIVASA IYENGAR (Yelandur Jahgir).—

Q.—29. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) Has it come to the notice of Government that Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Hill is a Sarva Inam to Sri Biligiri Rangaswami ?

(2) If so, what are the several items of income from the forest belonging to the Hill ?

(3) Is the amount realised on these items being credited to the Muzrai Fund of the place in the name of God ?

(4) If it is credited to the Muzrai Fund of this taluk, what is the amount collected till the 15th of August 1928, the amount spent and the balance ?

(5) Where is this amount ?

(6) Is the balance invested properly so as to yield interest ?

FINANCIAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—29. (1) What exactly is the Devadaya grant to the Sri Biligiri Rangaswami temple does not appear to have been clearly defined and it is proposed to have this matter investigated.

(2) to (6) Information cannot be furnished in view of the position stated in answer to (1).

Supplementary Question and Answer.

Yelandur Srinivasa Iyengar.—

With reference to item 1, it is not clear from the reply whether it is sarvamanya inam or not. It is understood that in the Government Order of 16th February 1896 it is stated that it is Sarva inam.

First Member of Council.—

There is some doubt about it. And the matter will be investigated.

At this stage MR. PUTTASWAMI raised a point of order and said that this question was not passed at the District Meeting and if it had been included as a special interest he did not consider that Yelandur Jahgir was a special interest.

THE PRESIDENT said that the point would be examined.

MR. PUTTASWAMI asked whether the Deputy Commissioner was right in his action.

THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL said that the question would be examined and a clear ruling would be given for future guidance.

MR. PUTTASWAMI insisted that a ruling on the question should be given immediately.

THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL replied that it was a small matter and that it might be left at that.

MESSRS. A. NARAYANA IYENGAR (Hassan Taluk) and CHENNABASAPPA (Arsikere Taluk).—

Q.—30. Will the Government be pleased to state: —

(*a*) Whether the committee appointed as per G.O. No. 2710-66—Legis. 12-26-1, dated 10th August 1926, to consider the question of passing a Regulation to prevent the slaughter of cows, have submitted their report ?

(*b*) How many meetings were held by them ?

(*c*) If they have not submitted their report as yet, will the Government remind them to do so at an early date ?

(*d*) If they are not in favour of passing the Regulation, will the Government do something to prevent the slaughter as promised by the Dewan Saheb when the resolution was passed in this august Assembly, *re* the passing of a Regulation to prevent the slaughter of cows ?